



| Background |
|------------|
| |
| |

Response to a Nationally Recognized Problem

- Institute for Healthcare Improvement: 100,000 Lives
 Campaign
- National initiative to reduce healthcare errors, infections, and associated death
- >3000 hospitals currently participating
 - Addresses specific healthcare-acquired infections
 - Central line-associated BSI (CLAB)
 - "Central line bundle"
 - Hand hygiene

- Maximal sterile barriers
- Chlorhexidine skin antisepsis
- Daily assessment for line necessity





| Magnitude of the Problem |
|--------------------------|
| |
| |

Severe Consequences

- 75% of all catheter related infections are due to the use of a central line
- >250,000 CVC related infections per year
- Mortality may be up to 35%

• The CDC estimates that attributable costs due to catheter associated infections range from \$34,508 to \$56,000.

HICPAC. CDC Guideline on the Prevention of Intravascular Associated Infections, 2002.

| | | ing the Cost to Trea Istream Infections | ι |
|-----------|------|---|-----------------|
| Author | Year | Cost Calculation | Increme Cost |
| Pittet | 1994 | SICU admissions, total costs of hospitalizations for survivors and non- survivors | \$28,69 |
| DiGivone | 1999 | MICU admission, total direct costs | \$34,50 |
| Dominquez | 2001 | PICU admission, total charges for hospitalization | \$40,00 |
| Slonim | 2001 | PICU admissions, total hospital charges | \$46,13 |
| Dimick | 2001 | SICU admissions, total hospital and ICU charges | \$56,10 |
| Elward | 2005 | PICU admissions, total direct costs of hospitalization | \$60,10 |



| | Studies | Mean no. BSIs per 100 devices | Mean no. BSI per 1000 devic days |
|--|---------|----------------------------------|--|
| Peripheral IVCs | 13 | 0.2 | 0.6 |
| Arterial | | | 2.9 |
| Central venous catheters | | | |
| Standard, uncuffed | | | 2.2 |
| Swan Ganz | | 2.5 | 4.3 |
| Hemodialysis | | | 2.6 |
| Tunneled (e.g., Hickman) | | 10.4 | |
| Surgically implanted | 13 | | 0.2 |
| PICCs (in-hospital) | | | 0.4 |



| Definition & Diagnosis |
|------------------------|
| |

| Terms |
|--|
| CVC = central venous catheter CRBSI = catheter-related bloodstream infection CABSI = catheter-associated bloodstream infection CLAB = Central line-associated infection |







Definition of a CLAB

- Primary CLAB major site of infection is a bloodstream infection and is either laboratory confirmed or clinical sepsis.
- Vascular access device present, no other source
- · 48-hour period after initial insertion

CDC, MMWR Aug. 9, 2002/51 (RR10);27-28

 $\left| \cdot \right|$







| - | | elated infections with the source |
|--|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Potential source | Local (>15 CFU) (n = 40) | With bacterem $(n = 6)$ |
| Colonization of skin of insertion site | 36 | 6 |
| Contamination of catheter hub | | |
| Contaminated IV fluid | | |
| Colonization from remote site | | |
| | | |



| Microbial | Profile | of I | VD-Re | lated | BSI |
|---|-----------------------------|------|-----------|-------|--------|
| | | | % of T | otal | |
| | No. IVD- Related BSIs | CNS | S. Aureus | GNRs | Yeasts |
| Shot-term, percutaneous: PIVCs, non-cuffed CVCs, Art lines | 592 | 40 | 26 | 15 | 11 |
| Long-term CVCs: Hickmans, ports, PICCS, cuffed HD | 865 | 25 | 13 | 50 | 3 |



| Prevention Strategies |
|-----------------------|
| |
| |

| Component | IHI | CD |
|--|-----|-------|
| •Hand hygiene | ~ | √(IA |
| Maximal sterile barriers | ~ | √(IA |
| Chlorhexidine skin antisepsis | ~ | √(IA |
| •Optimal catheter site selection | ~ | √(IA |
| Daily review of line necessity | ~ | √(IA |
| Weekly dressing changes unless damp, loosened, or visibly soiled | NA | √(IE |
| •Do not routinely replace CVCs solely for purposes of reducing the incidence of infection | NA | √(IE |
| •Use an antimicrobial or antiseptic-impregnated CVC | NA | ✓ (II |
| •Use of mechanical IV valves | NA | NA |
| Minimize contamination risk by wiping the access port with an appropriate antiseptic | NA | √(IE |







Are U.S. Hospitals Implementing **Recommendations?** A survey of 95 VA hospitals and 421 non VA hospitals Only 72% use maximal sterile barriers · Only 70% use CHG skin antisepsis 16% use routine catheter changes · Barriers to change: - Not enough resources to implement recommendations - Lack of a physician champion - The economic cost of the practice

Klein SL, et al. Are U.S. hospitals applying evidence to prevent central venous catheterassociated bloodstream infection? [abstract 228] SHEA 16th Annual Conference, March 2006, Chicago, IL



- Lack of immediate availability of CHG

Rubinaon L, et al. Why is it that internists do not follow guidelines for preventing intravascular catheter infections? ICHE 2005;26:525-33.

10 Essential Interventions to Prevent CLAB

1. Establish Credibility **Recruit Physician &** Nurse Champions Key areas: – ER - ICUs Anesthesiology All must be committed to same goals Leaders must convince their own Appoint "CLAB Leader" for each patient unit



2. Educate & Train the "Frontline" Healthcare Worker

• A. Educate health-care workers regarding the indications for intravascular catheter use, proper procedures for the insertion and maintenance of intravascular catheters, and appropriate infection-control measures...Cat IA.

 B. <u>Assess knowledge of and adherence to guidelines</u> periodically for all persons who insert and manage intravascular catheters. Cat. IA

Performance-Based Training

- Educational focus is on the continuous improvement of worker performance
- Worker skills and <u>competencies</u> are identified to achieve the department mission

- Curriculum is organized around learner needs and regulatory mandates. A collaborative approach is used with manager, worker, and educator input.
- <u>The evaluation measures the workers' abilities to</u> meet standard; it also determines if learned skills are enough to perform the job effectively.

Education as a Main Intervention

- 9 hospitals, 5,200 beds
- · Multidisciplinary task force
- 10 page self study module
- Pre test avg score: 78.3%
- Post test avg score: 89.9%
- Pre education CR BSI rate: 10.8/1000 CD
- Post education CR BSI rate: 3.7/1000 CD

Coopersmith CM, Rebmann TL, Zack J, Ward M, Corcoran RM, et al. Effect of an education program on decreasing catheter-related bloodstream infections in the surgical intensive care unit. Crit Care Med 2002;30:59-64.





| Effect of Education on CLAB | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--|---|
| Study | Type of unit | Pre-intervention rate (per 1000 catheter days) | Post-interventior rate (per 1000 catheter days) |
| Coopersmith, Crit Care Med, 2002 | Surg/burn/ trauma ICU | 10.8 | 3.7 |
| Rosenthal, AJIC 2003 | ICU | 17.0 | 9.9 |
| Warren, Crit Care Med, 2003 | ICU (Comm. Hosp.) | 4.9 | 2.1 |
| Warren, Chest 2004 | MICU (Univ. Hosp.) | 9.4 | 5.5 |













Credentialing & Competency

· Physicians:

 1st-year residents required to be assisted by 2ndyear or greater physcian for first 5 subclavian/jugular insertions and 3 femoral insertions

· Nurses:

- In addition to basic education, must attend dressing and maintenance education session
- Observed for policy adherence 2 x year

3. Demand Strict Hand Hygiene Observe proper hand washing procedures either by washing hands with conventional antiseptic-containing soap and water or with waterless alcoholbased gels or foams. Cat. IA

JCAHO Patient safety Goal #7 requirement

Key Components of Hand Hygiene Compliance Usage monitoring Collect data on empty soap/sanitizer containers Collect data on empty soa

- Observation monitoring (owner department heads/directors)
- Point prevalence surveys to ensure adequate supplies on patient units

4. Ensure Adherence to Policy During Insertion

| Central Line Invention Pro- | | | | _ |
|--|-----------------|----------|---------------|-----|
| | tion and Lafety | Cherikin | | |
| This family to be completed by the consequence through When completed, the family to be placed in blacks "CEP | THE LOW CON | CALAT | n. Terry 1 | |
| If there is an other red valueties of adhesing rea- instantiantly and the violation should be correct. If any "into in the desider's is an adhesing to pro- logarithm KTC tamping, or lashesing Correct | ed. | | | |
| Den BertineAM Category Type D Dates D Commit wave Names of Lances 0 1 0 1 0 1 Jupite Multime Operan Ferm | ni (7 Terrari | . maari | * | _ |
| Age of Statistic Divertisities District Statistics | Stort. | - | | |
| Principal physicals inserting | Anistine physic | | | - |
| ORCE | | 14 | The second | 125 |
| Count for counter | | | - | |
| | | | _ | |
| "The second state of the second state of the second state." | | | _ | |
| Contraction of the second seco | | _ | _ | _ |
| | 296 | | | |
| The state of the second second | | | _ | |
| The standard for the transfer | | | | |
| | | _ | _ | - |
| The distance record for agting from the | | | | |
| (Townson | | | | |

- All insertions assisted by nurse
- Empower nurses to stop procedure if observed break
- Use checklist to reflect step bystep policy adherence









6. Use Maximal Sterile Barriers

 Use aseptic technique including the use of a cap, mask, sterile gown, sterile gloves, and a large sterile sheet for the insertion of CVCs (including PICCs) or guidewire exchange. Cat. IB

| Study on Ef | ficacy of Ba | rrier Precautions of | of CR-BSI |
|-------------------|--------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| | # Pts. | Minimal barrier group* | Maximal barrier group** |
| Cath colonization | 176 | 7.2% | 2.3% |
| CR-BSI | 167 | 3.6% | 0.6% |
| * Sterile gloves, | small drape | | |

** sterile gloves, gown, mask, cap, large drape

Raad II, Hohn DC, Gilbreath BJ, Suleiman N, et al. Prevention of central venous catheter-related infections by using maximal sterile barrier precautions during insertion. ICHE 1994;15:231-8.



Maximal Sterile Barriers: Accessibility & Standardization Issues

- Seek vendor to create a "one sop shopping" custom kit
- · Let the user decide on type of components
- Provide in all insertion areas: eliminates searching
- · Eliminate all other items used before

• Also used during placement of other lines, e.g., arterial, PICC lines





















7. Provide Optimal Skin Antisepsis

 Disinfect clean skin with an appropriate antiseptic before catheter insertion and during dressing changes. Although a 2% chlorhexidine-based preparation is preferred, tincture of iodine, an iodophor, or 70% alcohol can be used.
 Cat. IA



| Source of Septicemia | 10% Povidone- iodine (<i>n</i> = 227) | | |
|-------------------------|---|---------|----------|
| Catheter-related | | | |
| Contaminated: | | | |
| Infusate | | | |
| Hub | | | |
| All sources (%) | 7 (3.1) | 6 (2.6) | 1 (0.5)* |

Maki et al. Prospective randomized trial of povidone-iodine, alcohol, and chlorhexidene for the prevention of infection associated with central venous and arterial catheters. Lancet 1991:338:339-343.



Meta-Analysis on CHG vs. PI

- Reviewed eight randomized, controlled trials involving a total of 4,143 catheters (peripheral venous, peripheral arterial, pulmonary arterial, PICC, introducer sheaths, hemodialysis).
 - The summary risk ratio for CRBSI for all catheters was 0.49 indicating "a significantly reduced risk in patients using chlorhexidine gluconate."

Chaiyakunapruk N, et al. Chlorhexidine compared with povidone-iodine solution for vascular catheter-site care: A meta-analysis. Ann Intern Med 2002;136:792-801.





8. Consider Novel Technologies

 Antibiotic, Antimicrobial-Coated Catheters



| CDC on Antimicrobial Catheters |
|---|
| CVCs: II.B. Use an antimicrobial or antiseptic impregnated CVC in adults whose catheter is expected to remain in place >5 days if, after implementing a comprehensive strategy to reduce rates of CRBSI, the CRBSI rate remains above the goal set by the individual institution based on benchmark rates and local factors (comprehensive strategy = education, use of maximal sterile barriers, and a 2% chlorhexidine skin prep). Cat. IB |

| ŀ | Review | of Vant | tex Trials | |
|------------------|------------------------|---------|----------------------|-----|
| | No. Of C No. of CVC | | | |
| No. of Trials | Study | Control | RR (95% CI) | Р |
| 3 | 8/275 | 21/295 | 0.41 (0.18- 0.91) | .02 |



- 9. Provide Optimal Dressing Care
- Replace the catheter site dressing when it becomes damp, loosened, or soiled...Cat. IA
- Replace dressings used on short term CVC sites every 2 days for gauze dressings and at least every 7 days for transparent dressings, except in pediatric patients where the risk for dislodging the catheter outweighs the benefit of changing the dressing. Cat. IB

11



- Point prevalence study at teaching hospital
- Of 114 pts who had CVCs, 78 (68%) had sub-optimal site care (uncovered or bloody)
- Study did not correlate with site colonization or BSI occurrence

Warren D, Apisarnthanarak A, Shukla S, Zack J, Fraser V. Processes of Urinary and Central Venou Line Care Among Non-ICU Patients. Abstract, SHEA Conference, Salt Lake City Utah, 2002

| Ι | Does | the | Dress | ing Ma | tter? | 1 |
|--------------|------------|------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------------|------------|
| | # Pts. | # LD | # Observ. Days | # Dressings Peeled | % peeled | # CRBSI |
| Prod. A | 120 | 1227 | 345 | 180 | 52.2 | 6 |
| Prod. B | 117 | 1220 | 338 | 44 | 13.0 | 2 |
| Study conduc | ted at Bro | okdale Uni | versitv Medic | al Center: Popula | tion includ | ed adult |

Study conducted at Brookdale University Medical Center; Population included adult patients with a central venous catheter; Product A & B are both transparent dressings; Similar percent by site in both groups (femoral, subclavian, jugular); Observations of site conducted on days 1,3,5 after application; dressing policy – replace as needed; unpublished data.







| Site Monitor | ing | |
|---|-----|----|
| | Yes | No |
| Is there evidence of inflammation or purulence at site? | | |
| Is there blood at insertion site? | | |
| Has dressing been applied correctly? | | |
| Are all four sides of dressing adhered correctly? | | |
| Does dressing appear clean and dry? | | |
| Is dressing dated as per policy? | | |



| | 10. Conduct Daily Assessment of Line Necessity | |
|---|--|--|
| All physicians, especially chief residents, must be on board with this issue Eveny day, ask the following: | residents, must be on board with this issue | |

• Every day, ask the following:

- Does the patient still need the line?
 <u>If yes</u>, can a less risky catheter be used (e.g., triple lumen to a PICC)?
 - <u>If no</u>, can we remove the line today?
- · Incorporate into Daily Goal Sheets







| Success Stories |
|-----------------|
| |
| |
| |

| Effect of Multifaceted Approaches on CLAB | | | | |
|---|--------------|--|---|--|
| Study | Type of unit | Pre-intervention rate (per 1000 catheter days) | Post-intervention rate (per 1000 catheter days) | |
| Eggiman, 2000 | MICU | 11.3 | 3.8 | |
| Hover, 2003 | 2 ICUs | 9.15 | 3.58 | |
| Wallace, 2003 | SICU | 25.1 | 6.2 | |
| Fauerbach, 2004 | Housewide | 10 | 6 | |
| Vinsel, 2004 | PICU NICU | 7.8 10.5 | 6.1 5.5 | |
| Matt, 2004 | Neuro ICU | 9.9 | 4.6 | |
| Berenholtz, 2004 | SICU | 11.3 | 0 | |
| Gilliam, 2004 | PICU | 9.2 | 5.0 | |



| on CLAB: more Examples | | | | |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Study | Type of unit | Pre-intervention rate (per 1000 catheter days) | Post-intervention rate (per 1000 catheter days) | |
| Greene, 2005 | Housewide | 6.9 | 3.9 | |
| Fauerbach, 2005 | SICU | 15.51 | 4.16 | |
| Ellis, 2005 | ICUs in 4 hospitals | 1.7 | 0.4 | |
| Bryant, 2006 | PICU | 6.96 | 2.1 | |
| Koll, 2006 | ICU Non-ICU | 8.5 13.3 | 0 | |
| Muto, 2006 | 8 ICU types in 20 hospitals | 4.2 | 1.3 | |
| Bevan, 2006 | MICU | 6.5 | 2.2 | |







| Garcia R.*, Jendhesky L.: Landesman S., Maher A., Nicolas F. Brookdale University Medical Center (BUMC), Brooklyn, NY, | |
|---|--|
| MODIFIED ABSTRACT | |
| <text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text> | |





















| Year | # CRBSI | # CRBSI avoided | Costs avoided |
|--------|---------|--------------------|---------------|
| 1999 | 97 | | |
| 2000 | 47 | 50 | \$2,262,700 |
| 2001 | 26 | 71 | \$3,213,034 |
| 2002 | 17 | 80 | \$3,620,320 |
| 2003 | 15 | 82 | \$3,710,828 |
| 2004 | 18 | 79 | \$3,575,066 |
| 2005** | 12 | 85 | \$3,846,590 |
| Total | | 447 | \$20,228,538 |

| Item | Description | Incremental | # items | Total |
|-----------------------------------|--|------------------|--------------------|---------|
| | | cost per item | used in 10 days | Cost |
| Maximal sterile barrier kit | Sterile gown, gloves, mask, large drape, dressing components | \$7.00 | 2 | \$14.00 |
| Dressing kit | Transparent dressing, 2% CHG antiseptic, tincture of benzoin, tape | \$2.00 | 1 | \$2.00 |
| Skin antiseptic | 70% alcohol-2% CHG in 3ml applicator | \$0.70 | 2 | \$1.40 |
| Antiseptic patch | Chlorhexidine-impregnated patch | \$5.00 | 2 | \$10.00 |
| Antimicrobial catheter | Silver-platinum catheter | \$10.00 | 2 | \$20.00 |





Robert Garcia, BS, MMT(ASCP), CIC Assistant Director of Infection Control Brookdale University Medical Center One Brookdale Plaza Brooklyn, NY 11212 718-240-5924 rgarcia@brookdale.edu

