Evidence-Based Indicators

- Designed to improve patient outcomes and will ultimately (but not in the short term) improve publicly reportable indicators
- Favored in organizations focused on improving patient outcomes

Example

- Patients with community-acquired pneumonia have better outcomes if they receive early antibiotic treatment (within 4 hours of ED arrival)
- Strategy: Focus on early identification, rapid diagnosis, and prompt therapy for CAP

Indicator-Based Strategy

- Follow a rule-based indicator
- Favored in organizations focused on protecting their reputations

Example

- Patients with community-acquired pneumonia have better outcomes if they receive early antibiotic treatment (within 4 hours of ED arrival)
- Strategy: Mandate policy that antibiotics be administered within 4 hours to ED patients suspected of CAP

Result of Indicator-Based Strategy

- Unintended consequence was widespread treatment of patients who did not have CAP
- Ultimately this indicator was withdrawn

- Muller & Detsky JAMA, 2010; 304:1116
Is This Happening With Hand Hygiene?

- Hand hygiene adherence is now a quality indicator and reporting is mandated
- Standard indicator measure for hand hygiene is observation
- Observation is subject to observer bias, selection bias, Hawthorne effect
- There is no single, simple strategy to improve hand hygiene

Potential Result

- As pressure to perform increases, the hospital seeks rapid improvement and are more likely to use methods that overestimate adherence and are ‘quick fixes’

Hence,

- In the absence of sustained, evidence-based efforts, public reporting of hand hygiene rates will lead to more indicator-based strategies and little true improvement
- High reported rates of HH undermine incentives to make real, sustainable change, especially in the absence of changes in infection rates
- Vicious cycle of ‘pseudo improvement’

Hospitals must choose:

STRIVE FOR REAL IMPROVEMENT OR PROTECT THEIR REPUTATIONS BY REPORTING HIGH RATES OF ADHERENCE

No Quick Fix

- Since 2007, only a few high quality studies have assessed short and longer term impact of strategies to improve hand hygiene
- Clearly multifaceted campaigns with social marketing and staff involvement are essential

Gould, et.al., Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2010; Sept 8; 9:CD005186

And worse...

- “The current emphasis (on hand hygiene) diverts attention and resources from other control interventions…”
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Times Are Changing!

Intervention Studies on Behavior Change (before 2009, n=49)
- Simplistic interventions: education, guidelines, feedback, audits, approvals processes/standing orders, gatekeeping
- 76% yielded desired behavior change
- Many methodologic flaws, no improvement over time
- None used behavior change models or applied rigorous evaluation over longer periods of observation

Systematic Review
- Between 2007-9, only two high quality studies assessed short and longer term impact of strategies to improve hand hygiene
- Clearly multifaceted campaigns with social marketing and staff involvement are essential

Gould, et.al., Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2010; Sept 8; 9:CD005186

After 2010
- At least 30 multi-modal interventions which have included 25 countries to improve adherence were published between 2011-now
- All are uniformly positive, but beware of publication bias!

What Educational Interventions Work?
- Systematic review of 16 electronic databases to identify features of educational interventions for improving hand hygiene
- 30/8845 articles met inclusion criteria
- All multi-modal in six categories: with or without demonstration, with self-study module, video, video and demonstration, or online component

Results
- No individual educational features could be identified
- Multiple, continuous interventions better than single
- Data ‘not available to determine the time, nature and type of booster sessions with feedback needed for a permanent change in compliance’
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One of the Best

- Cluster randomized trial (HELPING HANDS) in 67 patient units of three hospitals (Netherlands)
- Compared state-of-the-science multi-modal intervention (education, reminders, feedback) with multi-modal PLUS theory-based social influence and leadership strategies
- Compliance monitored at baseline, 3 and 6 months
- Observed 10,785 hand hygiene opportunities in 2,733 nurses


Hand Hygiene Adherence Rates

- Observed adherence rates:
  - Baseline: 20%
  - 3 Months: 30%
  - 6 Months: 40%
  - Enhanced adherence rates:
  - Baseline: 10%
  - 3 Months: 20%
  - 6 Months: 30%

Huis, et. al., Int J Nurs Stud 2013: 50(4):464-74

What about patient involvement?

- Literature review, 1997-2012
- Some patients may be willing to remind staff, but it varies by the organization’s culture
- Actual proportions who remind staff varies from 5-80%
- Improves if staff give explicit permission

McGuckin & Govednik. J Hosp Infect 2013, Apr 19

It’s Not All About Me

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discipline</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Adherence</th>
<th>P value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nurses</td>
<td>Personal Consequences (HH prevents you from catching diseases)</td>
<td>83.9%</td>
<td>.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physicians</td>
<td>Personal Consequences (HH prevents the patient from catching diseases)</td>
<td>88.4%</td>
<td>.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physicians</td>
<td>Patient Consequences (HH prevents the patient from catching diseases)</td>
<td>97.5%</td>
<td>.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Grant & Hofmann. Psychol Sci Nov 2011

*Comparing pre-to-post adherence rates

Local Culture More Important than Discipline

- Physician hand hygiene varied from 4% (gynecology) to 96% (neonatal ICU) within a single hospital
- Varied by a mean of 33% and 77% between hospitals
- “consistent with an important role of the local ward culture”

Cantrell, et al. 2008 Jul 9; AJIC

From one-on-one to the whole place

Safety System is a set of managed interdependent organizational activities that reliably make potential errors visible, reduce risks, and mitigate the effects of errors
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Safety Management System contains:
- Specific and regular executive board activities (e.g. reviews)
- Ongoing, frequent, graphic, scientifically sound monitoring
- Detailed accident investigation

Promoting Mindfulness in Education
- Developed a web-based hazard and near-miss reporting system for entry-level nurses
- 25% (886/3492) of responses from 500 nursing students related to infection control practices.
- 16% of those related to hand hygiene

A major component: Leadership
- Characteristics of successful leaders
  - Cultivate a culture of excellence
  - Communicate this to staff
  - Focus on overcoming barriers
  - Deal directly with resistant staff
  - Inspire
  - Think strategically, act locally
  - Leverage personal prestige
  - Form interdisciplinary partnerships

Safety System:
- Ongoing processes for learning from research
- Processes for maintaining and encouraging a participative culture, free of blame
- Alignment of internal incentives with safety improvement aims
- Effective, efficient prevention methods and regular audits

Promoting Mindfulness in Education
- Developed a web-based hazard and near-miss reporting system for entry-level nurses
- 25% (886/3492) of responses from 500 nursing students related to infection control practices.
- 16% of those related to hand hygiene

Challenges in Implementing the WHO Program
- ‘Campaign fatigue’ (hand hygiene rates plateau and remain the same)
- Competing priorities
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A multi-factorial approach includes:

- Education: how, when, why with specific emphasis on elective hygiene
- Motivation: peer pressure and modelling, overt and continuing administrative support
- Cues to action: posters, easy access
- Patient/staff empowerment (“Ask me if I have cleaned my hands”)

Son, et al., AJIC 2011; 39:716-24

Systematic Review of Adherence:

- “All articles published before 1/1/09”
- 96 articles reviewed
- All used direct observation and/or self-report
- All used self-developed scoring form
- Only 18% (17/96) reported any reliability testing
- Compliance reported in different ways

Erasmus, et al. ICHE 2010; 31:283-94

Systematic Review: Behavioral Improvement Strategies:

- Knowledge
- Awareness
- Social influence
- Attitude
- Self-efficacy
- Intention
- Action control, maintenance, facilitation of behavior

Systematic Review: Results:

- Few studies addressed social influence, attitude, self-efficacy, intention
- Maximum effect in addressing 5 determinants
- “Specific team-oriented activities were hardly identified...activities directed at behavioural maintenance following behaviour change were not identified...”


Optimal HH Bundle? Meta-Analysis:

- Among 8,148 studies, found six randomized controlled trials and 39 quasi-experimental studies
- Two bundles (3 studies each) were effective:
  - education, reminders, feedback, administrative support, and access to alcohol-based hand rub, Pooled OR: 1.82 (1.69-1.97)
  - education, reminders, and feedback, Pooled OR: 1.45 (1.12, 1.94)


Observation Is Still ‘Gold Standard’:

...BUT WE HAVE A BIG PROBLEM!
### How Much Training Is Required to Get Good Inter-Rater Reliability?

- Observer training: 2 classroom hours, 5 unit-based hours, 2 assessment hours
- Total: 9 hours X 2 people
- Raw agreement between observers was >92% (p<.001)
- But, 9 hours of training

Fuller, et.al. AJIC 2010; 38:332

### What Does Observation Cost?

- 820-bed urban tertiary care center
- Employed college and graduate students to do random observation
- For 2,074 hours of observation, cost was $21,252 ($0.66/observation)
- It’s costly!

Stevens, et al. ICHE 2010; 31:198-9

### How Accurate Is Observation?

- 12-week observational study in Brazil in 40-bed medical-surgical ICU
- 2,249 hand hygiene opportunities observed; 76,389 product dispensings
- No significant correlation between observed practice and product used (r=.27, p=.40)

Marra, et al. ICHE 2010; 31(8): 796-801

### 2013 Survey of Practices:

- 141 (100%) US Veterans Hospitals
- 98.6% used direct observation
- 45.3% validated observer process at the onset, and fewer still (39.6%) continued to validate
- Main behaviors were HH at room entry (69.1%) and exit (71.9%).
- Improvement interventions included posters (97.2%), feedback (98.6% to leadership), and improved access to HH products (90.6% provide individual hand sanitizers to staff)

Reisinger, et.al. AJIC 2013 Aug 13; epub ahead of print

### Electronic Monitoring vs Observation

- 13,694 hand hygiene opportunities monitored: overall compliance of 35.1%
- In four 20-minute sessions when hand hygiene was monitored concurrently by the system and infection control nurse, adherence rates were 88.9% and 95.6% respectively

Cheng, et.al., BMC Infect Dis 2011; 11:151.

### Observer Bias

- In two hospitals, unit-based observers reported higher adherence rates than non-unit-based observers (79% vs 58.6%, p<.001)


---
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Huge Variations by Sampling Strategy

- Based on 33,721 entries and exits from patient rooms, simulations were made of observation times of 1-15, 15-30, 30, and 60 minutes
- 60-min observations, captured 0.5-1.7% of average opportunities per day
- 1-15-minute schedule captured 16% fewer events than 60-min schedule, but sampled 17% more unique individuals. Also provided best estimate of compliance for the shift

Fries, et al., ICHE 2012; 33:689-95

No Wonder People Don’t Believe Reported Rates!

Time for a change


How do staff perceive hand hygiene monitoring?

- 10 focus groups with 89 healthcare workers in three hospitals (VA, university, community)
- Most common concerns: lack of data accuracy and potential punitive use of data
- Poor tolerance for electronic collection of data (‘Big Brother’)
- Recommendations: addressing accuracy issues and transparent communication about the intended use of the data

Ellingson, et al., ICHE 2011; 32:1091-6

Newer Monitoring Technology

- Radio-frequency sensory systems with which staff wear RFID-enabled badges or wristbands that monitor movement
- Light-emitting diode (LED) sensors that convert voltage to light for digital displays
- Wi-Fi technology which communicates with wearer’s Wi-Fi badge
- Monitoring product usage
- Video monitoring

Automated Monitoring More Accurate

- Compared 424,682 dispenser counts and 338 hours of human observation
- ‘Passive electronic monitoring of hand hygiene dispenser counts does not closely correlate with direct human observation and was more responsive than observation to a feedback intervention

Morgan, et al., AJIC 2012; 40(10):955-959

Advantages

- May be less costly than observation once installed
- Availability of large data sets
- Less observation bias or Hawthorne effect
- Enhanced credibility of data among staff
- Possible to examine other important factors (e.g., impact of dispenser type and location, practices by shift and unit)

Hosted by Dr. Hugo Sax, University Hospital, Zurich
A Webber Training Teleclass
www.webbertraining.com
Disadvantages
- Expensive, especially initially or with maintenance fees (e.g., cost/badge or per year)
- May monitor the wrong things because of technical feasibility (e.g., hand hygiene on entry/exit to wards or rooms)
- Possible reduced interaction between infection prevention staff and HCWs (although could also be opportunity for increased interaction)
- Often lack denominator (opportunities) or assessment of quality/technique

So...
- It is not possible (and probably not desirable or necessary) to monitor all opportunities; we should use meaningful surrogates
- We MUST balance getting good data that is actionable with resource use and other priorities

Monitor group or individual feedback?
- If goal is to create a team effort, shared ownership of the problem, and a culture of safety and change without shame and blame, consider unit or group-level feedback
- Problem: electronic monitoring often provides numerator (# HH episodes) but no denominator (# HH opportunities)

Group Monitoring System (GMS)
- Installed in 140 bed community hospital
- Focus groups of staff held to determine feedback preferences
- Major challenges:
  - determining the number of expected HH opportunities;
  - obtaining accurate census data;
  - ensuring the information reached HCW;
  - engendering confidence in the system
- Lesson: A substantial investment of human capital was required to fully adopt the GMS


Results
- Between 1/12 -3/13 the GMS recorded 1,778,852 HH events in 8 inpatient and 6 outpatient procedural areas
- Number of HH events per patient hour significantly increased in inpatient areas (median difference 0.17 events/patient hour, \( p=0.008 \)), but remained unchanged in outpatient areas (mean difference 0.40 events/patient visit, \( p=0.29 \)).
- In perioperative areas that did not receive feedback, the number of HH events per patient visit did not change significantly (mean difference -0.20 events/patient visit, \( p=0.38 \)).

Hand Hygiene Compliance Trends Before and After Feedback
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Quality Hospital-Acquired Infection Collaborative (AHRQ)

- 33 hospitals participated
- Data on successes, challenges, lessons learned collected from key informants and case report forms
- Seven commonly cited themes identified
- “Despite the diversity of hospital settings… hospitals encounter similar challenges and facilitators across projects”


Key Messages

- Simplistic, single strategy educational interventions such as an ‘in-service’ program are ineffective.
- Multi-modal, institution-wide interventions which include staff education as well as explicit, positive support from leaders show promise for effecting sustained improvement in hand hygiene practices.
- Specific, individual educational strategies to improve hand hygiene adherence are poorly understood and have not been identified.

So what works?

- Multi-factorial interventions
- Positive deviance
- Motivational interviewing
- Report cards
- Performance feedback
- Culture/organizational change
- Patient safety program
- That is, about anything that involves behavioral, theoretically-based interventions

“The time has come for the infection control community to move on…we must reacquaint ourselves with that lonely feeling familiar to clinicians when they realize a case is much more difficult than it appeared…we should embrace the intellectual audacity of our beloved Semmelweis but let go of his how-to manual.”


Thank you for your attention and collaboration
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21 January (FREE – British Teleclass)
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Dr. Evonne Curran, Glasgow University, Scotland

29 January (FREE – WHO Teleclass - Europe)
INNOVATION AND IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGIC APPROACHES TO
REDUCE CATHETER-RELATED BACTERAEMIA: THE RESULTS OF A
EUROPEAN MULTICENTRE STUDY (PROHIBIT)
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30 January
UNIVERSAL MRSA SCREENING - IS IT WORTHWHILE, AND FOR
WHOM?
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University College London

February 6
HAND HYGIENE - IS IT THE 100% SOLUTION?
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