Infection control guideline did not work against Ebola i	s that n 2014
Bjørg Marit Andersen Professor, MD, PhD, Infection control doctor Speciality: Medical microbiology Former head of Department Hospital Infections; Oslo University Hospital-Ullevål, Norway	
Hosted by Bruce Gamage Provincial Infection Control Network of British Columbi	a
www.webbertraining.com	October 5, 2017

Infection control guidelines that did not work against Ebola in 2014

Objectives:

- To analyze the Ebola virus and risk of transmission
- To discuss the international Ebola- guidelines for the use of PPE -before and after September 2014
- To discuss controversial PPE information and assessment of the Ebola crisis
- To evaluate prevention-savy behaviour during serious outbreaks

Overview Ebola infections					
		Ebolavirus	~		Case
Year	Country	species	Cases	Deaths	
2012	Democratic Republic of Congo	Bundibugyo	57	29	51%
2012	Uganda	Sudan	/	4	5/%
2012	Uganda	Sudan	24	17	/1 %
2011	Uganda	Sudan	1	1	100 %
2008	Democratic Republic of Congo	Zaire	32	14	44 %
2007	Uganda	Bundibugyo	149	37	25 %
2007	Democratic Republic of Congo	Zaire	264	187	71 %
2005	Congo	Zaire	12	10	83 %
2004	Sudan	Sudan	17	7	41 %
2003 (Nov-Dec)	Congo	Zaire	35	29	83 %
2003 (Jan-Apr)	Congo	Zaire	143	128	90 %
2001-2002	Congo	Zaire	59	44	75 %
2001-2002	Gabon	Zaire	65	53	82 %
2000	Uganda	Sudan	425	224	53 %
1996	South Africa (ex-Gabon)	Zaire	1	1	100 %
1996 (Jul-Dec)	Gabon	Zaire	60	45	75 %
1996 (Jan-Apr)	Gabon	Zaire	31	21	68 %
1995	Democratic Republic of Congo	Zaire	315	254	81 %
1994	Cote d'Ivoire	Taï Forest	1	0	0 %
1994	Gabon	Zaire	52	31	60 %
1979	Sudan	Sudan	34	22	65 %
1977	Democratic Republic of Congo	Zaire	1	1	100 %
1976	Sudan	Sudan	284	151	53 %
1976	Democratic Republic of Congo	Zaire	318	280	88 %
	Total- until 2013		2387	1590	66,6 %

Pandemics and serious outbreaks last	: 100 ye a	ars
Туре	Death rat	<u>e</u>
•1918-1977: Seven influenzae pandemics	< 3%	
•2003 SARS: > 8000 cases (20% were HCW)	11%	
•2005 Avian influenzae:	60%	
•2009 Pandemic flu -AH1N1:	< 0.2%	
•2013 –MERS(Middle East Resp Syndrome):	33-40%	
EBOLA – outbreaks: •1976-2013 (25), 2400 cases •2014, West Africa • 28 616 cases and 11 310 deaths • HCW:> 880 cases and 509 deaths*	67%(2 40% 58%	25-90)
Higher death rate among HCW? - 103-fold higher than general population in Sierra	Leone**	
*WHO 17 July 2015, ** Kilmarx et al. CDC, MMWR 2014;63: 1168-71.		4









"Viable virus can persist for > 7 days on surfaces on bodies, conferming transmission, even after death" Prescot et al. EID 2015;21: 856

- A high postmortem stability of Ebola virus;
 - Isolated (PCR) from oral, nasal, <u>skin</u>, blod, etc. swab for <u>up to 10</u> weeks from macacques -monkeys
 - Living virus isolated <u>3-4 days to one week or</u> more postmortem, from surface swab samples from <u>skin</u>, conjunctiva, nose, mouth etc Prescot et al.





Transmission of Ebola virus from pigs to non-human primates- monkeys Hana M. Weingartl1,2, Carissa Embury-Hyatt1, Charles Nfon1, Anders Leung3, Greg Smith1& Gary Kobinger3,2 1National Centre for Foreign Animal Disease, Canadian Food Inspection Agency, 1015 Arlington St. Winnipeg, Manitoba, R3E 3M4, Canada, 2Department of Medical Microbiology, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Canada, 3National Microbiology Laboratory, Public Health Agency of Canada, 1015 Arlington St., Winnipeg, Manitoba, R3E 3R2, Canada. ZEBOV transmission from pigs to cynomolgus macaques without direct contact. Piglets inoculated oro-nasally with ZEBOV were transferred to the room housing macaques in an open inaccessible cage system. All macaques became infected. Infectious virus was detected in oro-nasal swabs of piglets, and in blood, swabs, and tissues of macaques. This is the first report of experimental interspecies virus transmission, with the macaques also used as a human surrogate. Our finding may influence prevention and control measures during EBOV outbreaks. Weingartl HM, et al. Scientific Rep 2. 2012; article number:811. 11







International guidelines used to protect HCW against Ebola, September 2014; WHO, UK and CDC

- 1. WHO. Interim infection prevention and control guidance for care of patients with suspected or confirmed filovirus haemorrhagic fever in health-care settings, with focus on Ebola. <u>September 2014</u>.
- 2. UK, Department of Health. Management of hazard group 4 viral haemorrhagic fevers and similar human infectious diseases of high consequences. <u>September 2014</u>.
- 3. **CDC**. Infection prevention and control recommendations for hospitalized patients with known or suspected Ebola haemorrhagic fever in US hospitals. <u>August 2014</u>.
- 4. **CDC.** Guidance on personal protective equipment to be used by healthcare workers during management of patients with Ebola virus disease in U.S.hospitals, <u>October 20, 2014.</u>

WHO, UK, CI	DC:"Co	ontact	and d	roplet	1m". Not airborne!
Comparing the use of PF from WHO, UK, and CDC	PE - in Ebola (ref 1-4)	virus guide	lines		PPE Sep.2014: Suspected
	WHO 2014*	UK 2014**	CDC 2014***	CDC 2014***	and confirmed cases, No head/neck cover
	September	September	August	20 October	
Spread of infection					■No respirator/N95 (ex. UK)
Direct contact	yes	yes	yes	yes	I ()
Indirect contact	?	yes	yes	yes	Variable shoe covers
Airborne?	no	no	no	no	
The use of PPE in:					■Variable gown use (UK)
Suspected case					
Eye protection	yes	yes	yes		
Gown	yes	plastic apron	yes		
Gloves	yes	yes	yes		CDC: October 20- PPE
Mask/surgical mask	yes	yes	yes		C
Respirator/N95 etc	no	no	no		for confirmed cases
Hair/head cover	no	no	no		• • • • •
Specific shoes/shoe covers	yes	no	no		Included putting on
Confirmed cases					and removing PPE
Eye protection	yes	yes	yes	yes	
Gown	yes	yes	yes	yes	respirator/N95
Gloves	yes	yes	yes	yes	
Mask/surgical mask	yes	no	yes	no	covering
Respirator/N95 etc	no	yes	no	yes	h airr/h a a d/m a al-
Hair/head cover	no	no	no	yes	nair/nead/neck
Specific shoes/shoe covers	yes	no	no	yes	- shaa aayars
					Shoe covers
Aerosol-gener. Proc.					Still not sinhound
Respirator/N95 etc	yes	yes	yes	yes	Sum not airborne!
Hair/head cover	no	no	no	yes	
Specific shoes/shoe covers	yes	no	yes	yes	





Promed-mail

3] Infection control is not working Date: 14 Sep 2014

From: Bjorg Marit Andersen <bomarand@hotmail.com> [edited]

- Infection control concerning EVD is not working, especially when more than 240 [now 300] healthcare personnel have been infected, and more than 120 workers have died. Guidelines used to control SARS in 2003 should be used, not <u>"contact and droplet protection of 1-2 meters,"</u> as is still recommended by WHO.
- Personal protective equipment (PPE) for contact and airborne infections should be used because of
 - a) respiratory symptoms,

b) a big distance -- up to 9 meters -- for droplets when

coughing and sneezing (Bourouiba et al. J Fluid Mechanics 2014;745:537-563.),

c) re-aerolization from the environment, bed clothes etc.,

- d) long survival of the virus outside the body, and
- e) high lethality.

19

- "Healthcare workers (HCW) and helpers should be protected with PPE as they were during the SARS epidemic. The SARS epidemic was an infection control success by the healthcare system of some countries in Asia in 2003.
- • WHO should not repeat the same failure as was done during the early phase of the SARS-epidemic by using "contact and droplet isolation." Separate hospitals for EVD should be built, like in China (1000 beds in 8 days for SARS), and only patients with laboratory documented EVD should be cohorted. Suspected cases should be isolated separately.
- HCW and helpers should be trained and especially observed concerning [putting] PPE on and taking [it] off. The observers should also use PPE. During the SARS epidemic, HCW were re-contaminated by not knowing how to take off PPE.
- Exposed people and patients with other diseases should be treated in professional triages to reduce the population's fear of being EVD-infected during contact with healthcare. Exposed people should be taken care of by professional helpers.
- There is a need for a lot of resources, especially concerning infection control work."
- Andersen BM, promed-mail 14 September 2014
- Promed-mail Archive Number: 20140914.2773490

[The above directions are perfectly correct. Unfortunately, investigators are concluding that health worker infections are occurring outside the hospitals. - Mod.JW]

Aerosol controversy

September 2014; Promed-mail; an intensive discussion

 14 Sept.: «Personal protective equipment (PPE) for contact and airborne infections should be used because of a) respiratory symptoms, b) a big distance -- up to 9 meters -- for droplets when coughing and sneezing, c) re-aerolization from the environment, bed clothes etc., d) long survival of the virus outside the body, and e) high lethality." *Bjørg Marit Andersen*

Responses came very soon- among others:

🔼 cidrap.umn.edu

- 17 Sept.: «Healthcare workers need optimal respiratory protection for Ebola»- -for a risk group 4 organism like ebolavirus, the minimum level of protection should be an N95 filtering facepiece respirator» *Lisa M Brosseau and Rachel Jones*.
- 19 Sept.:»-it is feasible to make ebolavirus transmit through air» *Ben Neuman*. Contra
- 19 Sept.:-- «we have never seen a human virus change the way it is transmitted» *Vincent Racaniello*
- 20 Sept.: «Suggesting airborne precautions for Ebola HCW is a really bad idea (!).-Ebola is not airborne----Laboratory experiments –have clearly shown that Ebola and
 Marburg are highly aerosol infectious.-» *Heather Lander*.
- 21 Sept.: «--to my knowledge it (EDV) is not aerosol borne so I am at a loss to understand why there is so much emphasis on this route of transmission---» *Shahen Mehtar*

21

ww.cidrap.umn.edu/news-perspective/2014/09/commentary-health-workers-need-optimal-respiratory-protection-ebola COMMENTARY: Health workers need optimal respiratory protection for Ebola Lisa M Brosseau, ScD, and Rachael Jones, PhD | Sep 17, The precautionary principle—that any action designed to reduce risk should not await scientific certainty compels the use of respiratory protection for a pathogen like Ebola virus that has: Promed-mail 17 September 2014 · No proven pre- or post-exposure treatment modalities · A high case-fatality rate · Unclear modes of transmission We believe there is scientific and epidemiologic evidence that Ebola virus has the potential to be transmitted via infectious aerosol particles both near and at a distance from infected patients, which means that healthcare workers should be wearing respirators, not facemasks.¹ Promed-mail: 08. Oct 2014: USA: Experts worry Ebola may spread more easily than assumed-Dr CJ Peters: «we just dont have the data to exclude it»-Dr. M Osterholm: -» None of us know.» 22

Ebola Virus Disease and the Need for New Personal Protective Equipment

Michael B. Edmond, Daniel J. Diekema, Eli N. Perencevich, JAMA. 2014;312(23):2495-2496., online October 28, 2014

• --" The virus is found in body fluids that health care workers are likely to contact. These include blood, urine, vomitus, and stool.

•Gastrointestinal fluid losses can be massive (5-10 L/day), and simulated vomiting studies have shown droplet dispersion greater than 10 ft.⁵

•In patients dying of Ebola virus infection, serum viral loads can reach 10 billion copies/mL. $^{6\circ}$ ---

23





Consultation on WHO r	apid advice guideline
WHO Guideline Development Group mee in the Context of Filovirus Disease outbr 6-7 October, Gen WHO hea	eting on Personal Protective Equipment reak Response eva, Switzerland 2014 adquarter
Participants	
GDG members	Consultants
Emma Aarons, PHE, UK Daniel Bausch, Peru (Tulane School f PHTM, USA) Bryan Christensen, CDC, USA An Caluer Cha WHO rapid meeting 6-7 OC Alain Mau Shah Head and neck cover Baba Didier Bassin Respirators only for aeros	Matthiae E tober 2014: sol- generating procedures
2	World Healt

WHO and CD0 2015- 2	C: resp 2 017 -	birator but o	y and hood protection came in nly for <u>confirmed cases-</u> -
Comparing the use of regular -	PPE - in Ebo	ola	- New PDF- quidelines from CDC
virus guidelines from WHO, an	d CDC in 201	5	New FFL- guidelines non CDC
	WHO 2015*	CDC 2015**	and WHO Oct-Dec 2014-2017
Spread of infection			 Covering hair head and skin
Direct contact	yes	yes	
Indirect contact	?	yes	"Donning and doffing"
Airborne?	no	no	
The use of PPE in:			
Suspected case-stable			
Eye protection	yes	yes	WHO: Still no respiratory
Gown	yes	yes	netection
Gloves	yes	yes	protection
Mask/surgical mask	yes	yes	Still only use of mask "within one meter"
Respirator/N95 etc	no	no	in confirmed cases
Hair/head cover	no	no	
Specific shoes/shoe covers	no	no	CDC: respirator/N95 but
Confirmed cases and unstable	susp.		
Eye protection	yes	yes	surgical mask to emergency
Gown	yes	yes	service if the nationt is not
Gloves	yes	yes	Service in the patient is not
Mask/surgical mask	yes	no	bleeding/vomiting»stable»
Respirator/N95 etc	no	yes	siccarry, connerry "stubie"
Hair/head cover	yes	yes	
Specific shoes/shoe covers	yes	yes	 * WHO fact sheet, April 20152017
			 ** CDC, April 2015-2017
Aerosol-gener. Proc.			*** CDC 2015 January: Emergency med serv: EMS
Respirator/N95 etc	yes	yes	are using mask if no complications
Hair/head cover	yes	yes	5
Specific shoes/shoe covers	yes	yes	27















Shedding and re-aerolization from skin, textils, equipment etc

- Ebola:- living virus on body surfaces like <u>skin</u> and <u>mucous membranes.</u>
- A living person is shedding skin particles-continuously;
- 30- 60 000 dead skin cells per minute; up to 500 mill/day as small patricles in the air and like grey dust on surfaces.
- Re-aerolization, for instance, via bedmaking, cleaning, textiles, spraying, aerosol-generating procedures, etc. shimori JHI 2002;50:30-35.

35









- Transmission: "Ebola then spreads through human-to-human transmission via direct contact-----"
- Controlling infection in healthcare-settings:---
 - "When in <u>close contact (within 1 metre</u>) of patients with EBV, health-care workers should wear <u>face</u> <u>protection</u> (a face shield <u>Or</u> a medical mask and goggles), ----a clean, non-sterile long-sleeved gown, and gloves (sterile gloves for some procedures)."

"What You Need to Know about Ebola" CDC April 28 2015 ---"Ebola is spread through direct contact with blood and body fluids"

--"Ebola is <u>not</u> spread through the air, water, or food"



40









Why did WHO and CDC not act preventive?

- Ebola is a defined level 4 high-risk disease that should be treated with high-risk isolation, from the start. Ebola is among the most dangerous diseases in the world.
- Why did WHO and CDC recommend to treat this level 4 disease as: "contact and droplet isolation within one meter"?
- Why did WHO and CDC not act preventive for
 - A remote disease, with small, but deadly outbreaks!
 - A disease with no evidence- based knowledge!
 - In a population in a tropical area, at a very high risk!
 - Where infections and death among HCW was known high, already from many years ago!
 - Why was Ebola handled in USA and Europe as a level 4 disease?

45

Report of the Ebola Interim Assessment Panel

Report of the Ebola Interim Assessment Panel, July 2015 to WHO

- The Ebola crisis not only exposed organizational failings in the functioning of WHO, but it also demonstrated shortcomings in the International Health Regulations (2005).
- If the world is to successfully manage the health threats, especially infectious diseases that can affect us all, then the Regulations need to be strengthened.-----
- Had the recommendations for revision made in 2011 by the Review Committee in relation to Pandemic (H1N1) 2009 been implemented, the global community would have been in a far better position to face the Ebola crisis.
- «The world simply cannot afford another period of inaction until the next health crisis. ---»

Report of the Ebola Interim Assessment Panel, July 2015 to WHO

Declaring a Public Health Emergency of International Concern (PHEIC) Sitation: • **«20. In the Ebola crisis, a PHEIC under the International Health Regulations (2005) was not declared by the Director-General** until 8 August 2014.---• 21. WHO does not have a culture of rapid decision-making and tends to adopt a reactive, rather than a proactive, approach to emergencies.---• ---There seems to have been a hope that the crisis could be managed by good diplomacy rather than by scaling up emergency action. • At present, WHO does not have the capacity or organizational culture to deliver a full emergency public health response.» Report of the Ebola Interim Assessment Panel, May and July 2015 to WHO 47



- 12) Once the patient no longer has symptoms, he or she should remain in <u>isolation for one full lunar cycle</u> before moving freely in the village.
- 13) If the person dies, a person who has survived *gemo* or has taken care of several sick persons and not become ill, should bury the persons; the burial should take place <u>at the edge of the village</u>.
- "From a biomedical perspective, this protocol constitutes a broad-spectrum approach to epidemic control.
- Isolation and identification of the patient's home and village were emphasized by all groups interviewed, but sexually transmitted and foodborne transmissions were also frequently listed.
- Elders were adamant that this protocol existed before the arrival of Europeans in the late 1800s."
- Barry S. Hewlett* and Richard P. Amola† 2003
- Lessons to learn from earlier epidemics--





Assessment of self-contamination							
during removal of PDE for Ebola							
	uuring			F F L		LDUI	a
		G		CON	TAMINATION	DURING EBOLA	PEREMOVAL 1150
						Domina about	i change and
TABLE 2.	Detection of Non-env	veloped Bacterioph	nage MS2 After PPE Do	offing			
	Hand	s	Inner Gl	oves			
Subject	Non-dominant	Dominant	Non-dominant	Dominant	Face	Shirt	Glove Sanitize
1	ND	ND	ND	1.1×10^{3}	ND	ND	ABHR
2	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ABHR
3	ND	ND	1.7×10^{3}	2.9×10^{2}	ND	ND	ABHR
4	ND	ND	ND	2.6×10^{2}	ND	ND	ABHR
5	ND	ND	9.2×10^{4}	5.5×10^{4}	ND	ND	ABHR
6	ND	ND	ND	ND	NID	ND	ABHR
7	ND	ND	8.5×10^{1}	1.2×10^{3}	NI	3.16×10^{4}	ABHR
8	ND	ND	2.8×10^{4}	3.6×10^{4}	ND	ND	ABHR
9	ND	ND	7.6×10^{3}	3.0×10^{4}	ND	ND	ABHR
10	ND	ND	5.2×10^{2}	1.8×10^{3}	ND	ND	ABHR
11	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	HOC1
12	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	HOCI
13	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	HOCI
14	6.4×10^{1}	1.0×10^{2}	ND	ND	ND	ND	HOCI
			NUTS		110		and of







Summary



- Ebola has caused a high number of victims, also among healthcare personnel (HCW) –in spite of PPE- recommendations for contact and droplet isolation within one meter from the patient (WHO,CDC,UK).
- The PPE- guidelines from WHO and CDC concerning suspected or confirmed Ebola cases were not evidence based and denied the possibility for airborne transmission.
- Before infection control was raised to level 4; strict isolation (airborne <u>and contact infection</u>), -more HCWs, helpers, families of cases and the general population were exposed unnecessary to a serious illness.
- New, updated guidelines from the WHO and CDC should protect HCW's, helpers and others working with Ebola and other serious infections in a more safe way, than today.
- A dangerous infection should always be met with the highest level of protection, not with the lowest.





and the second	www.webbertraining.com/schedulep1.php
October 12, 2017	(FREE Teleclass) STRENGTHENING IPAC STRUCTURES THROUGH EDUCATION IN LOW- INCOME OR MIDDLE-INCOME COUNTRIES Speaker: Prof. Shaheen Mehtar, Infection Control Africa Network, and Stellenbosch University, Cape Town
October 17, 2017	(FREE South Pacific Teleclass - Broadcast live from the 2017 IPCNC conference) HAND HYGIENE PROGRAM SUCCESSES IN MEMBER COUNTRIES OF THE INICC Speaker: Dr. Victor Rosenthal, Founder and Chairman, International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC), Buenos Aires, Argentina Teleclass broadcast sponsored by Schülke (www.schuelke.com)
October 26, 2017	INFECTION CONTROL IN PARAMEDIC SERVICES Speaker: Jennifer Amyotte, City of Greater Sudbury Paramedic Services, Canada
October 31, 2017	(FREE European Teleclass) INFECTION PREVENTION CHALLENGES AMONG HOSPITALIZED CHILDREN AND NEONATES IN AFRICA Speaker: Prof. Dr Angela Dramowski, Stellenbosch University, Cape Town
November 9, 2017	CLEANING THE GREY ZONES OF HOSPITALS: LESSONS FROM A COMMUNITY-BASED TEACHING HOSPITAL

